UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X Docket#

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : 12-cr-00134-ERK-1

- versus - : U.S. Courthouse

: Brooklyn, New York

ADNAN IBRAHIM HARUN A. HAUSA, :

Defendant : April 22, 2014

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF CRIMINAL CAUSE FOR STATUS CONFERENCE
BEFORE THE HONORABLE EDWARD R. KORMAN
UNITED STATES SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE

A P P E A R A N C E S:

For the Government: Robert L. Capers, Esq.

United States Attorney

BY: Amanda Hector, Esq.

Assistant U.S. Attorney 271 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, New York 11201

For the Defendant: Susan Gail Kellman, Esq.

25 Eighth Avenue Brooklyn, NY 11217

David Stern, Esq.

Rothman, Schneider, Soloway

& Stern, P.C.

100 Lafayette Street,

Suite 501

New York, NY 10013

<u>Transcription Service</u>: Transcriptions Plus II, Inc.

61 Beatrice Avenue

West Islip, New York 11795

laferrara44@gmail.com

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound-recording, transcript produced by transcription service

```
2
                            Proceedings
              THE CLERK: United States v. Adnan Hausa.
 1
 2
              Your appearances, counsel?
 3
              MR. HECTOR: Amanda Hector for the government.
              Good afternoon, your Honor.
 4
 5
              MR. STERN: David Stern and Susan Kellman for
 6
   Mr. Hausa.
 7
              MS. KELLMAN: Good afternoon, Judge.
              THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor.
 8
 9
              THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to come up?
10
   Does anybody want to put on the record what happened?
11
              MS. HECTOR: Certainly, your Honor. At the
12
   last court appearance --
13
              THE COURT: No, no, just before. I want to
14
   hear that too, but just before -- I understand before he
15
   came out --
16
              MS. HECTOR: Actually, I believe his counsel
17
   can speak to that more appropriately than I can.
18
              MS. KELLMAN: I think he was just upset in the
19
   back, Judge, and he was having a bit of a tantrum. And -
20
21
              THE CLERK: Judge, for the record, counsel came
22
   out and advised me that the defendant threatened to kill
23
   not only his own counsel, but you, Judge. Therefore, I
24
   requested additional marshals be present in the courtroom
25
   and the defendant is in handcuffs.
```

3 Proceedings 1 THE DEFENDANT: It's me that say I am going to 2 kill the judge? 3 THE INTERPRETER: For the record, he is trying to ask me that -- explain to him if they say I said I am 4 5 going to kill the judge. 6 THE DEFENDANT: Where did I say that? When did 7 I say that? When did I say that to my lawyer, too? From 8 the administrator, I am not ready to kill anybody here. 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 MS. KELLMAN: That's an improvement. 11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 MS. HECTOR: Your Honor, at the last status 13 conference in this case, your Honor entered an order that 14 the defendant be given a psychiatric evaluation. 15 ordered was entered. The defendant was sent to 16 Springfield for that evaluation to be performed. He was 17 down there for some time. A doctor by the name of Dr. 18 Demere (ph.) was assigned to conduct the evaluation. Dr. 19 Demere spent some time with him. 20 The defendant was then sent back to the MCC and 21 Dr. Demere delivered a report to the Court and to 22 counsel. Unfortunately, while Dr. Demere's report is, 23 you know, fairly voluminous, he indicated that he at that 24 time had not reached a conclusion sort of a degree of 25 certainty that he is used to reaching conclusions with

Proceedings

respect to competency.

We think that's problematic because under the rule, we need the doctor's opinion as to the defendant's competency. As your Honor knows, we already had another psychiatric who opined that the defendant was competent.

Dr. Demere, however, did indicate in his report that he thought some additional time with the defendant with the use of another interpreter could be productive in allowing Dr. Demere to reach an actual conclusion with a reasonable degree of medical certainty.

Based on that, we have submitted a proposed order to the Court which would permit the defendant to be sent back to Springfield, so that he could meet with Dr. Demere again. Dr. Demere could do whatever Dr. Demere believes is necessary to finish or to conclude his evaluation of the defendant and a report could be issued that is in conformity with the rule.

MR. STERN: We agree with that --

MS. KELLMAN: I think that that's not good.

MR. STERN: -- report is not meaningful in the sense that there is no conclusion. We think there has to be a conclusion reached. He's either competent or not competent and Dr. Demere leaves him in some kind of limbo where he says he might be incompetent. I can't really tell. I am going to err on the side of saying it. But

```
5
                            Proceedings
   he doesn't really know.
1
 2
              We think he has to be sent back until a
 3
   conclusion is reached. If there is a conclusion that he
   is competent, then we'll do whatever it is that we think
 4
   we should do. If the conclusion is he is incompetent,
 5
 6
    then they'll do whatever they think they should do.
 7
              THE COURT: Do you want to say anything?
 8
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes. At first, those people I
 9
   say I don't really -- I don't want the lawyer. I don't
10
   want them around me. That's one. I could just say I
11
   don't know what I am doing at MCC. You say I am going to
   put your report at MCC. Until now, I didn't see any
12
13
   report. The third one -- when you sent me to Missouri,
14
   center health --
              THE COURT: Missouri?
15
16
              THE DEFENDANT: -- I say I don't want to go?
17
              MS. KELLMAN: Missouri.
18
              THE CLERK: Springfield.
19
              THE DEFENDANT: I say I don't want to go that,
20
   I am healthy. You took me. You pushed me and you forced
21
   me to go. I stayed a month and twenty days, all what
22
   they've done to me at Missouri, they gave me an
    injection, a shot. They gave me tree or four, the
23
24
    injection that they give the children.
25
              And from there, Dr. Miller (ph.), he sat with
```

6 Proceedings I told him that I'm very okay mentally. All those 1 2 routine, just to kill the time, or to send me to CIA 3 operatives or for a military investigation. It's just an 4 escape. I don't want to die. I didn't see the embassy 5 6 -- the Niger embassy that I requested for. I -- I wrote 7 a report -- a letter to Bank Iman (ph.) and they say the 8 letter is not going there. Until now I didn't hear any (indiscernible) from Bank Iman or United Nation judge. 9 10 Even the Interpol of United Nations, the truth about this 11 (indiscernible). And this -- this issue, is not an issue 12 that I want to (indiscernible). 13 For you, if you want to do something, read 14 truthful in the name of God, send me to the United 15 The issue in Afghanistan, I recognize it. The 16 American Marines, I killed five of them. 17 MR. STERN: Judge, it's our opinion --18 THE DEFENDANT: Wait. I want to complete 19 (indiscernible) --20 MR. STERN: -- one second. 21 It's our opinion that our client shouldn't be 22 talking about the facts of his case and we're advising 23 him not to talk about the facts of this case. 24 THE COURT: I'm not going to let any of that 25 into evidence if and when he goes to trial.

Proceedings

THE DEFENDANT: I gave my plan and I sent it to Pentagon. Al Qaeda used my plan. The United States lawyer, David Bitkower and Shreve. Oh, it's an agent in Italy. I told them all this problems and she discovered this, the (indiscernible) that they're using discovery.

So now I didn't know why I was arrested and what did you accuse me of. Till now, I didn't see anybody from CIA, even from the Pentagon. So what I (indiscernible). A year, seven month, what are we doing? You took me to the center health. I am in good health. What am I doing? So where is the protocol of the United Nations? That's what I am saying.

I didn't ever say that I am going to kill you or kill my lawyer. I said in front of -- I am the enemy of the United States and you told me not to say I am the enemy of the United States. That's my truth. All the people here that they're here, don't want to hear the truth.

Do you know how many years I have fought in Afghanistan? How many people that I have killed in Afghanistan? How many people that America has killed in Afghanistan? And so all this now after all this, you are making all these scenarios. That -- it doesn't make any sense.

If I -- at Guantanamo, on those that they have

Proceedings

arrested at Afghanistan, were took to Guantanamo. And within five years since Iran solved that problem. Those — those who killed the American Marines that I took to Guantanamo, within five years the ministry of — the justice minister and they went through their cases. Those who found guilty — they found them guilty, those who weren't will be released — were released. And some also died.

And we are here now in the land of America in the justice system and now you put me here for a year and seven months. And the issue -- what I have done there, the terrorist act that I have committed, we did it in Washington, D.C., at Pentagon. I'm in that group. I'm in that group that was sent to Pentagon. I'm not in that group -- those are from Manhattan, in New York, here.

That's why I am asking -- I'm asking even you should take me to the courts in Washington, D.C. If it's not asking much -- if it's not of the judge of Washington district, you -- you brought me here in New York. I know all the -- I know -- I know the law. I know the law from one state to another state. But I don't know much of it, but I have been hearing about that. So you tell me what's my -- what am I doing here in New York.

So if I killed the Americans and also, the issue has to do with Pentagon, why I am here and not D.C.

```
9
                            Proceedings
 1
   You wasting the time. I told you I needed a United
 2
   States judge -- United Nation judge. And that's how the
 3
   local was -- was arranged. They took her Abdul Maghreb
    (ph.), they took him to the international judge.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: I left my copy of the report in
 6
   chambers.
 7
              THE DEFENDANT: Now just take me to that
 8
   international judge. Without the truth, you are wasting
 9
   time.
10
    (Pause)
11
              THE DEFENDANT: I have to say something.
12
              THE COURT: Go ahead.
13
              THE DEFENDANT: You want me to talk? At MCC,
    at last (indiscernible), I was given a remote -- oh, a
14
15
    report in English about Shamut (ph.)
16
              THE INTERPRETER: I don't know what it Shamut.
17
              THE DEFENDANT: They change my room and they --
18
   on the -- they (indiscernible) I can hear from the window
19
    that people are talking. I don't have any technology and
20
    there weren't any speaker but from the window, I can hear
21
    on the -- maybe they are from the Saudi Arabia
22
   government, the federal police of Saudi Arabia. And I
23
   can hear also those also from the federal government in
24
   Libya -- from Libya. I spoke to the Lefanon (ph.). He
25
   didn't answer me. Till now, the system is still working.
```

Proceedings

They took me to Missouri. That system was still functioning. Even at the MCC, the system is still working.

When they brought me here, the system is still working. You can just -- I can hear that people are talking. It's just even to arrest me or to hold me -- to hold my psyche since I came to this court. At the MCC, and Missouri, they are talking something that will just raise my blood pressure. I don't know what that system is. Before you were in (indiscernible). Since last Christmas till now, then they open it -- they open the system.

THE COURT: All right. I am going to sign the order that was submitted to me. You know, based on your conduct and the manner in which you've behaved while you were at the MCC, that's bee placed on the record earlier — in earlier proceedings, based on the way you've conducted yourself here in court, and just recently in the cell, in the holding cell and the recommendation of the psychiatrist who examined you, that the more conservative course is to determine that you're not competent to proceed.

I am going to sign an order that sends you back to Springfield until it's determined by the psychiatrist at Springfield that you're competent to proceed and the

Proceedings

report requires and the statute requires that I received reports every six months. That is, the statute requires that.

I suggest that it's one of two things, Mr.

Harun, either you're playing crazy or you are crazy and I use the word crazy in the sense that laymen use it and I can't proceed without being certain that you're not crazy and that you're competent to plead -- to go to trial and assist in your defense at this time.

I would suggest to you that when you go back to Springfield, that you cooperate more fully with the doctors who were examining you.

I don't really know what my case here -- what's the case?

THE COURT: We've gone through this. This is not the first time here. You've had an earlier lawyer. Now you have two more lawyers. If you don't -- if you're unwilling or unable to communicate with them -- you just told me yourself, whether it's true or not or whether you're making it up, that you killed five Americans in Afghanistan. That you're a terrorist. And you don't understand what the case is about.

THE DEFENDANT: What I am trying to say if you remember Shreve in front of Amanda, he say he is going to write to the Court, my -- whatever they are accusing me

```
12
                            Proceedings
   of. And they are going to put it on discovery.
1
 2
              THE COURT: No, there's an indictment that
 3
   contains the charges that you're accused of -- that
   you're being accused of. And you would have received a
 4
 5
   copy of that indictment by this point.
 6
              All right. I have had enough.
 7
              THE CLERK: Thank you, marshals.
 8
              THE COURT: Can you come up for a minute.
                                                         Ι
 9
   just want to -- I'm putting in the order that it's
10
    further ordered that the Court be provided with periodic
11
   reports --
12
              MR. STERN: You mean interim reports on how
13
   things are going?
14
              THE COURT: Yes. What else do I want to know?
15
              MR. STERN: I'm not sure how things will be
16
   going but I think it's a good idea.
17
              MS. KELLMAN: Well, maybe interim reports will
18
   suggest that Springfield not be sending him back until
19
    they have something to say.
20
              MS. HECTOR: Well include it in the order.
21
              MS. KELLMAN: Yes, okay.
22
              THE CLERK: Get this up on ECF today and copies
23
    will go to the marshals. Thank you very much.
24
              MS. HECTOR: Thank you.
25
              MS. KELLMAN: Thank you.
```

```
13
                              Proceedings
               MR. STERN: All right. Thank you.
 1
 2
               MS. HECTOR: Thank you, Judge.
 3
               THE COURT: All right.
 4
                           (Matter concluded)
 5
                                 -000-
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I, LINDA FERRARA, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the said proceedings is a true and accurate transcript from the electronic sound-recording of the proceedings reduced to typewriting in the above-entitled matter.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, or financially interested directly or indirectly in this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand this 2nd day of June, 2016.

Suka Strara Linda Ferrara

CET**D 656

Transcriptions Plus II, Inc.